

Resolution I

Proposed Amendment to Canon 6 - Of Elections

Whereas: Changes of communication technology have decreased the time required to disseminate information to the deputations of the convention; and,

Whereas: The Rules of Order for the Convention of the Diocese of Milwaukee already reflect this communication efficiency by having changed the deadline for submission of nominations and business to be brought before the convention; therefore, be it

Resolved: **That section 1 of Canon 6 - Of Elections be amended to reduce the deadline for submission of nominations for elections from 60 days prior to the convening of Convention to 45 days, as indicated below:**

CANON 6 - Of Elections.

*SECTION 1. Nominations for the elective offices hereafter set forth shall be made not less than **45 days prior** to the convening of Convention by any adult communicant of the Diocese in good standing, such nominations to be made in writing addressed to the Secretary of the Diocese. Such elective offices are the following: (section continues without further changes)*

Submitted by

John Washbush
Parliamentarian, Diocese of Milwaukee

Resolution II

Amendment to Canon 4 - Of The Meeting of the Convention

Whereas: The Special Convention of July 16, 2020 adopted canonical changes that make it possible for the Diocese of Milwaukee to meet in convention virtually for the fall of 2020; and,

Whereas: Those canonical changes authorize the format of meeting at a distance electronically only for this convention; and,

Whereas: There will remain in our future the possible need to meet as a convention in a format other than face-to-face in a single location; therefore be it

Resolved: **That section Canon 4 - Of The Meeting of Convention be amended to remove time specific references to meeting virtually to read as follows:**

Canon 4 - Of the Meeting of Convention

- 1. The Convention of the Church in the Diocese shall be held at such time and place as the Bishop, or the Ecclesiastical Authority, shall designate.*
- 2. At the request of the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority, and subject to the concurrence of the Executive Council, such meeting may be conducted through the use of internet meeting services designated by the Bishop, or the Ecclesiastical Authority, that support anonymous voting and support visible displays identifying those participating, identifying those seeking recognition to speak, showing (or permitting the retrieval of) the text of pending motions, and showing the results of votes.*

- a. *The electronic meeting of such Convention shall be subject to all rules of order adopted by such Convention to govern them, which may include any reasonable limitations on, and requirements for Members' participation. Any such rules shall supersede any conflicting rules in the parliamentary authority, but may not otherwise conflict with or alter any provision of the Constitution or Canons of this Diocese.*

- b. *An anonymous vote conducted through the designated internet meeting service shall be deemed a ballot vote, fulfilling any requirement in the Canons or rules of order that a vote be conducted by ballot.*

Submitted by

Bishop's Task Force on Virtual Convention

Liz Orlop, Vice Chancellor, Diocese of Milwaukee

Mark Ehrmann, Vice Chancellor, Diocese of Milwaukee

John Washbush, Parliamentarian, Diocese of Milwaukee

Resolution III

Authorization for the Office of Assisting Bishop

Whereas: The resignation of The Rt. Rev. Steven Miller as 11th Bishop of the Diocese of Milwaukee will be effective January 1, 2020; and,

Whereas: The Standing Committee of the Diocese of Milwaukee, in consultation with Bishop of Milwaukee and the Office of Pastoral Care of the The Episcopal Church, has discerned a need for an episcopal presence in the Diocese of Milwaukee to support the work of the church, the search for the 12th Bishop of Milwaukee, and the transition to the next bishop; therefore be it

Resolved: That the 173rd Convention of the Diocese of Milwaukee:

- 1) **approves the creation of the position of Assisting Bishop for the Diocese of Milwaukee, effective January 1st, 2021**
- 2) **authorizes the Standing Committee of the Diocese of Milwaukee to develop a Letter of Agreement including a description of the position and the qualifications of candidates for appointment**
- 3) **authorizes the Standing Committee to appoint a Bishop for the position, subject to the concurrence of the Executive Council of the Diocese of Milwaukee**

Submitted by

Executive Council
John Washbush, Parliamentarian, Diocese of Milwaukee

Resolution IV

2021 Clergy Minimum Compensation

Whereas: We are currently experiencing unprecedented circumstances in our life as a Diocese and as parishes and currently there is no method to adequately or accurately predict the financial impact on parishes during the coming year; and,

Whereas: In recognition of this inability to assess and predict the Diocese is proposing fiscal procedures for next year that use the present year as a working starting point;, and

Whereas: The adoption of a minimum compensation standard for clergy is not just a canonical requirement but also a matter of ensuring fair treatment of the clergy of the diocese; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the clergy compensation levels for 2021 remain at the 2020 levels (see below). This action does not prohibit a parish from compensating clergy beyond the standard nor increasing clergy compensation in the coming year, in accordance with individual parish circumstances. If extenuating circumstances may prevent a congregation from meeting these minima, any proposed exceptions to this compensation standard must be approved by the Office of the Bishop / Ecclesiastical Authority.

YEARS EXPERIENCE	MINIMUM TOTAL CASH COMPENSATION*
0 – 2 YEARS	\$57,746
3 – 7 YEARS	\$62,889
8 – 12 YEARS	\$71,461
13 – 18 YEARS	\$80,032

* The Total Cash Compensation (TCC) package is defined as the sum of salary (stipend), housing and utilities allowance, and self-employment tax (SECA); part time clergy should meet these minima on a prorated basis.

Submitted by

Executive Council

The Rev. Canon Dr. Kevin D. Huddleston, Canon for Finance and Administration, Episcopal Diocese of Milwaukee

Additional Information:

**Clergy Compensation Philosophy for the
Episcopal Diocese of Milwaukee**

In the Episcopal Diocese of Milwaukee, compensation of clergy is to be a faithful expression of the ministry, responsibility, professional education and training that are requisite for ordained ministry in this Church. Establishing fair compensation for clergy persons takes into account that clergy are both employees and spiritual leaders. We recognize that a healthy Christian relationship does not relegate compensation of clergy or lay employees to whatever is left over after other expenses have been met. Our churches are neither healthy nor well-served by not paying for the services they are receiving. In the past, at the end of the tenure of a priest who was “flexible” or “understanding” about accepting below-market compensation, a congregation could unexpectedly find that it did not have the financial resources needed to call another priest. No one was served well in this dynamic and so we have worked as a diocesan community to ensure that all clergy are compensated fairly and at a minimally acceptable level.

Congregations need to be sure that they are offering a competitive wage that will continue to bring clergy to serve the needs of the congregation and community. Clergy ought to be compensated at a level that recognizes their professional training and experience as well as the demands of the position. Determining a fair level of clergy compensation is the responsibility of parish leadership. Diocesan minimum compensation amounts are to be understood as just what the name signifies – a minimum. We certainly don’t expect a minimum of effort from our clergy and so there should be discussion about how increases in salary are to be calculated and on what basis they may be offered.

Parishes and clergy are encouraged to enter into faithful dialogue so that all can come to an agreement that is deemed fair and appropriate. This will require prayer and discernment as well as frank and open dialogue. To aid in such a discussion, a parish may request input from the Office of the Bishop to assist the wardens, treasurer and other appropriate people to help the congregation accurately understand its current financial situation and compensation practices and the true cost of employing a clergy person. Such a financial review is essential for looking at issues of vitality, mission and ministry. It is the responsibility of parish leadership to represent an accurate parish profile that includes an objective assessment of a parish’s

ability to afford full-time or part-time clergy ministry based on diocesan-established minimum compensation amounts.

Several parishes in this diocese employ part-time clergy. The Office of the Bishop and the Canon for Congregations will assist with compensation agreements for part-time clergy.

The Diocese has adopted a policy regarding paid sabbatical leaves for full-time stipendiary clergy. The congregation and the clergy member will agree on how long the clergy member must serve to receive paid sabbatical leave. This is not time to engage in personal business, like a job search, but rather is for rest, renewal, continuing education and spiritual enrichment. Sabbatical leave must be used to be paid. Sabbatical leave is not a vested benefit. Unused sabbatical will not be paid out, in whole or in part, when the service of the clergy member to the congregation ends. Part-time clergy may wish to pursue a sabbatical. Those who wish to do so are encouraged to contact the Office of the Bishop to discuss this possibility.

It is expected that all congregations will review clergy compensation annually. Letters of Agreement between the ordained leader and the congregation provide a great opportunity for an annual discussion and review of the entire mission and ministry of the congregation and about the ministry of the ordained leader in particular. This review also provides an advantageous time to establish goals for the coming year, and to deal with any areas of conflict or disappointment that have not received adequate attention and may adversely affect their mutual ministry. Mutual ministry reviews are understood to be separate from but integral to clergy performance, evaluation and compensation considerations. The mutual ministry review, clergy evaluation and compensation review are all essential parts of strengthening this ongoing, collaborative relationship. Please contact Canon Peggy Bean, Canon for Congregations, at bean@diomil.org or 414.272.3028 for assistance with this aspect of parish life.

CLERGY COMPENSATION FAQs

Q: Can a clergy contract effective date be changed to January for easier calendar year budgeting?

A: Yes, you can have a partial year contract and then one with a January effective date if the cleric and congregation agree. Letters of Agreement should always be reviewed on an annual basis. Many congregations find it best to do so during their budget process to address any compensation or benefit changes.

Q: Should congregations pay a housing equity allowance?

A: Although once a common practice, this is no longer recommended given the changes in the housing market.

Q: What is the minimum HSA contribution amount? What is the maximum?

A: Please consult with your accountant or tax advisor for the 2021 HSA contribution limits.

Q: The minimum compensation resolution shows a COLA increase plus ranges based on years of service. Should clergy receive both increases if he or she moves to a higher range?

A: No. The raise should be either the COLA percentage or the range increase, whichever is larger.

Q: What if our congregation cannot afford to pay the increase?

A: We understand that there may be extenuating circumstances, and exceptions may be made. Any exceptions must be approved by the Office of the Bishop.

Q: When calculating years of service to determine the appropriate compensation range, do years of service in another diocese count? What about with another denomination?

A: Years of service in another Episcopal diocese certainly count. Years of service as ordained clergy in another denomination may well be factored in. We suggest a conversation with the Office of the Bishop to help make that determination.

Q: Does the amount a congregation pays clergy towards SECA need to be a separate calculation/line item or can the amount just be included in the cash compensation total?

A: It does not have to be a separate line item. If you aren't going to identify it separately, CPG recommends that this is clearly spelled out in your Letters of Agreement. CPG lists all reported SECA amounts on the Personal Information Summary they supply to clergy. They apparently get a lot of inquiries from clergy when the value on the SECA line is zero. Some congregations list it separately as information for their members. Many lay people don't know that clergy are considered to be self-employed and must pay SECA themselves.

Q: Can we use a fixed amount (that includes utilities) for the FMRV (fair market rental value) for church-provided housing or do we have to use CPG's 30% calculation?

A: CPG said that using the fixed dollar amount for FMRV and utilities is the proper way for parishes to calculate housing for IRS reporting purposes. For pension purposes, CPG will use the 30% to calculate the housing allowance.

Q: How do we determine FMRV (fair market rental value)?

A: We recommend having a realtor provide a letter stating the FMRV of the house furnished. This would need to be updated periodically.